

MINUTES OF THE OTTAWA ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

June 15, 2023



Chairman Charlie Sheridan called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Ottawa City Council Chambers.

Roll Call

Present: Charlie Sheridan, Vince Kozsdiy, Celeste Nielsen, Melissa Hulse and Earle Lecki. Also present was city staff member Matt Stafford.

Meeting

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Sheridan at 7:00 p.m. It was moved by Vince and seconded by Melissa that the minutes of the previous meeting be approved. The motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Sheridan then recounted the city ordinance provisions for granting zoning variances, per Section 118-19(g)(3) of the city zoning ordinance (see attached). He noted that there was one item for consideration by the board.

Property: Zoned A-2 (Single and Two Family Residential) Lot 1 in Block 20 in Day's Addition in the City of Ottawa, LaSalle County, Illinois. Commonly known as 601 Christie Street, owned by J & J Trust for the request of a variance from the Fence Ordinance.

Applicant: J & J Trust represented by Jason Bell

Review: Jason Bell requested a variance to construct a 6' high wood privacy fence in a portion of the front yard on the south side of Glover Street. The lot has 2 front yards due to it being a corner lot and there is very little room in the back or side yards. He plans on constructing a 12' x 12' wood deck attached to the house.

Action: It was moved by Vince Kozsdiy to approve one variance to allow the construction of a 6' high wood privacy fence on the South side of Glover Street a minimum of 12" from the survey pins and a minimum of 35' from the curb at Christie Street and a second variance to allow for a solid versus a 50% open fence construction. Celeste Nielsen seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Having no further business in front of it, Earle Lecki moved to adjourn the meeting; the motion was seconded by Melissa Hulse, and ended at 7:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Earle Lecki

ZBA Variance Considerations

Section 118-19(g)(3) Standards for Variances

The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not recommend a variance from the regulations of this ordinance unless it shall make written findings based on evidence presented to it in each specific case that all the standards for hardships set forth are met.

- a. The property in question cannot yield a reasonable return if permitted to be used only under the conditions allowed by the regulations in the district wherein the property is located.
- b. The plight of the owner is due to unique circumstances such that the enforcement of this Ordinance would result in practical difficulties or impose exceptional hardships due to special and unusual conditions which are not generally found on other properties in the same zoning district.
- c. The variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood and will not be a substantial detriment to adjacent property.
- d. The proposed variation will not impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property, or substantially increase the congestion in public streets, or increase the danger of fire, or endanger the public safety, or substantially diminish or impair property values within the neighborhood.
- e. The granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property and improvements in the neighborhood in which the property is located and will not overcrowd the land or create undue concentration of population.